
THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

SECOND EDITION

EDITORS

JONATHAN DAVEY AND JAMES FALLE

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

The Government Procurement Review

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Government Procurement Review, 2nd edition
(published in May 2014 – editors Jonathan Davey and James Falle).

For further information please email
Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com

THE
GOVERNMENT
PROCUREMENT
REVIEW

Second Edition

Editors

JONATHAN DAVEY AND JAMES FALLE

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

PUBLISHER
Gideon Robertson

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS
Adam Sargent, Nick Barette

ACCOUNT MANAGERS
Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee, James Spearing, Felicity Bown

PUBLISHING ASSISTANT
Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT
Chloe Mclauchlan

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT
Shani Bans

HEAD OF PRODUCTION
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Anne Borthwick

SUBEDITOR
Anna Andreoli

MANAGING DIRECTOR
Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK
© 2014 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of May 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-03-5

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADDLESHAW GODDARD LLP

AEQUITAS LEGAL

ALLEN & OVERY

ALLENDE & BREA

ARAP, NISHI & UYEDA ADVOGADOS

BAHAS, GRAMATIDIS & PARTNERS

BAKER & McKENZIE

BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH

BIRD & BIRD LLP

JAMES FALLE

LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

LENZ & STAEHELIN

LIEDEKERKE

MAPLES AND CALDER

MNKS

NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL, SC

SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES

SETTERWALLS ADVOKATBYRÅ AB

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS

CONTENTS

Editors' Prefacevii
	<i>Jonathan Davey and James Falle</i>
Chapter 1	ARGENTINA..... 1
	<i>María Morena del Río</i>
Chapter 2	AUSTRALIA..... 14
	<i>Geoff Wood and Anne Petterd</i>
Chapter 3	BELGIUM 27
	<i>Dirk Lindemans, Frank Judo, Aurélien Vandeburie and Stijn Maeyaert</i>
Chapter 4	BRAZIL 40
	<i>Massami Uyeda Junior and Rodnei Iazzetta</i>
Chapter 5	CANADA 53
	<i>Theo Ling and Jonathan Tam</i>
Chapter 6	EUROPEAN UNION 67
	<i>James Falle and Clare Dwyer</i>
Chapter 7	FRANCE..... 82
	<i>Romarc Lazerges</i>
Chapter 8	GERMANY..... 97
	<i>Olaf Otting and Udo H Olgemöller</i>
Chapter 9	GHANA..... 107
	<i>Divine Kwaku Duwose Letsa</i>

Chapter 10	GREECE	123
	<i>Irene Economou</i>	
Chapter 11	INDIA.....	141
	<i>Sunil Seth and Vasanth Rajasekaran</i>	
Chapter 12	IRELAND.....	152
	<i>Mary Dunne</i>	
Chapter 13	ITALY	163
	<i>Filippo Bucchi, Alfonso Polillo and Maria Vittoria La Rosa</i>	
Chapter 14	LUXEMBOURG	176
	<i>Benjamin Marthoz</i>	
Chapter 15	MALTA	193
	<i>Adrian Delia and Matthew Paris</i>	
Chapter 16	MEXICO	203
	<i>Javier Arreola E and Vanessa Franyutti J</i>	
Chapter 17	PORTUGAL.....	215
	<i>Paulo Pinheiro, Rodrigo Esteves de Oliveira, Catarina Pinto Correia and Ana Marta Castro</i>	
Chapter 18	ROMANIA	228
	<i>Oana Gavrilă and Mariana Sturza</i>	
Chapter 19	SPAIN	240
	<i>Raquel Ballesteros</i>	
Chapter 20	SWEDEN	253
	<i>Ulf Djurberg and Natali Phalén</i>	
Chapter 21	SWITZERLAND	263
	<i>Astrid Waser, Marcel Meinhardt and Roman Kern</i>	

Chapter 22	TAIWAN.....	274
	<i>Pauline Wang and Claire C Lin</i>	
Chapter 23	UNITED KINGDOM	289
	<i>James Falle and Clare Dwyer</i>	
Chapter 24	UNITED STATES	303
	<i>John W Chierichella</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	333
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS ...	347

EDITORS' PREFACE

We are delighted to introduce this, the second edition of *The Government Procurement Review*. It brings even wider geographic coverage than the first edition, now covering six continents and 24 national chapters (including the EU chapter).

The political and economic significance of government procurement is plain. Government contracts are of considerable value and importance, often accounting for 10 to 20 per cent of GDP in any given state. Government spending is often high profile and has the capacity to shape the future lives of local residents.

While the economic climate has improved since we wrote the preface to the first edition, we are far from seeing a return to the boom years. In light of the economic climate, it is perhaps no surprise then that governments seek to demonstrate more effective, better value purchasing; nor that many suppliers view government contracts as a much-needed revenue stream with the relative certainty that they will be paid.

While economic downturns have in the past coincided with protectionist national measures, we are heartened to see some notable examples of free trade-oriented policy-making in the past year. In particular, the World Trade Organization's revised Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA) came into force on 6 April 2014, having received the requisite number of ratifications. With the new GPA, it is estimated that there will be new procurement opportunities worth US\$80-US\$100 billion per year for firms from other GPA states.

At the same time, 10 additional states are in negotiation with a view to accession to the GPA, including China, Ukraine and New Zealand. In addition to the pluralistic GPA, there is an increasing number of bilateral trade agreements covering procurement.

This is not to say that firms looking to supply foreign governments necessarily always enjoy perfect equality when bidding for contracts. We should mention that there are also potential new, protectionist clouds on the procurement horizon: in January 2014, the European Parliament approved measures that will prevent firms from bidding for larger public contracts unless their home country allows reciprocal access to EU firms. While the European Parliament views the measure as encouraging third countries to reciprocate in

opening markets, some fear it will have the opposite effect, provoking trade wars. We very much hope that the proposed EU measures do not have such a nefarious outcome.

Regardless of these possible difficulties, we expect that the principles of transparency, value for money and objectivity enshrined in the UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement and in the national legislation of many states will continue gradually to have a positive effect. This will particularly be the case where international agreements (be it the GPA or bilateral treaties) offer equal access.

One sometimes overlooked beneficial side effect of transparency and objectivity is that opportunities for local suppliers may be opened up where previously they have struggled to compete with incumbent providers for new contracting opportunities. This in turn can drive value for money for the taxpaying public.

Some national authors have reported significant increases in challenges to contract award decisions. While it is clear that there are considerable variations between jurisdictions in the willingness or ability of suppliers to challenge, it seems to us that the increased risk of challenge can help hold awarding authorities to account and is likely to encourage greater compliance with national procurement rules.

When reading chapters regarding European Union Member States, it is worth remembering that the underlying rules are set at EU level. Readers may find it helpful to refer to both the European Union chapter and the relevant national chapter, to gain a fuller understanding of the relevant issues. As far as possible, the authors have sought to avoid duplication between the EU chapter and national chapters.

Finally, we wish to take this opportunity to acknowledge the tremendous efforts of the many contributors to the second edition as well as the tireless work of the publishers in ensuring a quality product is brought to your bookshelves in a timely fashion. We hope you will agree that it is even better than the first edition, and we trust you will find it to be a valued resource.

Jonathan Davey, Addleshaw Goddard LLP • James Falle, Solicitor (England & Wales)
London
May 2014

Chapter 18

ROMANIA

*Oana Gavrilă and Mariana Sturza*¹

I INTRODUCTION

Public procurement contracts are essentially regulated by Government Emergency Ordinance No. 34/2006 on the award of public procurement contracts, public works concession contracts and service concession contracts (GEO No. 34/2006). Specific sector regulation and clarifications of GEO No. 34/2006 can be found in the secondary legislation, consisting of government decisions and National Authority for the Regulation and Monitoring of Public Procurement (ANRMAP) orders. GEO No. 34/2006 transposes EC directives on public procurement² and creates the legal framework to secure compliance with the principles of contract awarding in public procurement: non-discrimination, equal treatment, mutual recognition, transparency, proportionality, optimum use of funds and undertaking of liability.

1 Oana Gavrilă is a managing associate and Mariana Sturza is a senior associate at Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații.

2 Directive No. 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts; Directive No. 2004/17/EC coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors, except for Article 41(3), Article 49(3) to (5) and Article 53, which are transposed by government decision; Directive 1989/665/EEC on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the application of review procedures to the award of public supply and public works contracts; and Directive 1992/13/EEC on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the application of Community rules on the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and telecommunications sectors, except for Articles 9 to 11, which are transposed by government decision.

II YEAR IN REVIEW

The domestic legislative framework was amended and supplemented mainly in relation to:

- a* the obligation of public companies to observe the principles of contract awarding in public procurement when the value of the contracts to be awarded is higher than specific thresholds;
- b* third-party support within public procurement procedures;
- c* different tender evaluation periods according to each type of contract;
- d* the variation of the abnormally low price threshold (from 70 to 80 per cent of the estimated contract value);
- e* the variation of thresholds by which the contracting authority may directly purchase goods, services or works;
- f* the cases of cancellation of public procurement procedures by the contracting authorities;
- g* the cancellation of ANRMAP's right to request the courts of law to establish absolute nullity of public procurement contracts, on the grounds set out in GEO No. 34/2006;
- h* strengthening the sanctioning regime in respect of specific breaches of public procurement rules; and
- i* the possibility to solve disputes regarding public procurement contracts through arbitration.

III SCOPE OF PROCUREMENT REGULATION

To secure adherence to the principles underlying the awarding of public procurement contracts, a set of specific contract awarding rules and procedures has been devised. The scope of these rules and procedures is defined by reference to the entities that are obliged to apply them, and to the type of contracts entered into by them and the undertakings concerned.

i Regulated authorities

The contracting authorities in charge of the enforcement of GEO No. 34/2006 are the central, regional and local state authorities and the authorities controlled by them, joint ventures between such entities, suppliers of public utilities (i.e., water, energy, transport and postal services – separately regulated) and any legal subject that is active in one or more utility supply branches under a special or exclusive right granted by a competent authority.

ii Regulated contracts

Public procurement contracts may include works contracts, supply contracts or service contracts. The defence sector has special procurement regulations. A few particular rules are provided in GEO No. 34/2006 for services of general interest (water, energy, transport, postal services). Generally, separate regulation is for reasons of national security.

Although, as a rule, the above-mentioned contracting authorities procure the products, services and works only through a competitive procedure, direct procurement

of no more than €30,000 for services and supply contracts and €100,000 for works contracts is allowed. GEO No. 34/2006 expressly stipulates a few other contracts that fall outside its scope for criteria other than value.³

Once the contract is awarded through one of the competitive procedures regulated by GEO No. 34/2006, the question of amending the ongoing contract and the conditions under which such amendment is allowed without triggering a new awarding procedure may also be raised. There is a very thin line between the legal amending of such contracts and the obligation to organise a new award procedure. In this respect, EC directives on public procurement and domestic laws do not contain any express provisions on cases when the amendment of a public procurement contract is, in fact, a new contract, which should be awarded under a public competitive procedure.

In practice, it follows that the amendments create a new contract, and a new contract awarding procedure must be organised in the following cases:

- a* the amendment marks a substantial departure from the initial contract and proves that the parties intend to renegotiate the essential terms of the contract;
- b* the amendment would have also allowed the participation of other tenderers or the selection of another tender in the already organised procedure; or
- c* the amendment considerably extends the scope of the contract, including services that were not covered by the initial contract.

On the other hand, contracting authorities are expressly allowed to increase the price of a works or services contract by up to 20 per cent of the initial value by organising a mere negotiation procedure without the prior publication of a tender notice, when certain conditions provided under the GEO No. 34/2006 are met. Such procedure is completed by the execution of an addendum to the initial contract. The price may thereby be increased in the event that, due to unforeseeable circumstances, the procurement of supplementary or additional works or services becomes necessary for the fulfilment of the contract and if the following conditions are met:

- a* the contract is awarded to the initial contractor;
- b* the additional works or services are related to the initial contract or are necessary for the fulfilment thereof; and

3 The following are excluded from the scope of GEO No. 34/2006:

- a* A service contract on the purchase or lease of immoveable assets; the purchase, development or production of programmes for broadcasting purposes; the provision of arbitration and conciliation services; the provision of financial services in relation to the issuance, purchase, sale or transfer of securities or other financial instruments; employment; and the provision of research-development services fully paid for by the contracting authority.
- b* A contract awarded further to an international agreement entered into, in accordance with the provisions of the Treaty, with one or several non-Member States and referring to the supply of products or performance of works for the implementation or operation of a project jointly with the signatory states, if the respective agreement provides for a specific procedure for the awarding of such contract; or the application of a procedure that is specific for international entities and institutions or is provided by EC laws. However, the European Commission must be notified of such contracts via ANRMAP.

- c* the maximum aggregate value of additional works or services does not exceed 20 per cent.

Subcontractors may be replaced after execution of the contract (in fact, this is a frequent practice), if the contracting authority agrees and if the initial technical and financial tenders are not varied.

To conclude, the public procurement contract can be amended without the organisation of a new procedure for competitive awarding only if the amendment is insignificant. However, such decision must be thoroughly reviewed in advance from the perspective of domestic and European laws on public procurement, to reach a balance between the need to efficiently continue with the execution of the contract and the obligation to ensure free competition and equal treatment for all current and potential successful tenderers.

IV SPECIAL CONTRACTUAL FORMS

The procedures to be followed for the awarding of public procurement contracts differ by reference to value thresholds, the scope and particularities of the contract and the special conditions to be met by the undertaking that will be awarded the contract.

The special methods for the awarding of public procurement contracts are applicable when a framework agreement is executed, when the authority obtains, on its behalf, products or services meant for another contracting authority, and when the dynamic purchasing system or the electronic auction is applied.

i Framework agreements and central purchasing

A framework agreement is a written arrangement between one or several contracting authorities and one or several undertakings, establishing the essential elements and conditions to govern public procurement contracts that will be awarded in a given period, in particular the contract price and, as the case may be, the quantities. As a rule, the framework agreement is concluded further to an open or restricted tender.⁴ The maximum term of a framework agreement is four years.⁵ At least three undertakings must execute the framework agreement, when the latter is concluded with more than one undertaking.

To avoid the abusive or inappropriate use of framework agreements by the contracting authority, the latter must observe the following rules:

- a* not to award subsequent contracts on other performances than those provided in the framework agreement or subsequent contracts of a different type or nature;
- b* not to award subsequent contracts for and on behalf of another contracting authority that is not a party to the respective framework agreement, unless this other contracting authority is a central purchasing body; the latter (whose activity

⁴ However, as an exception, another awarding procedure could also be held.

⁵ In exceptional cases, for reasons related to the nature and specifics of the contracts to be concluded, a longer term may apply.

- must be approved in advance by government decision) may acquire on its behalf products or services for another contracting authority;
- c* to provide for minimum qualifying conditions referring at most to the estimated value of the largest subsequent contract that is expected to be awarded during the term of the framework agreement;
 - d* the documentation for the awarding of a framework agreement must contain specific information (e.g., the option to award subsequent contracts with or without resuming the contest, the award criteria and evaluation factors to be applied in awarding subsequent contracts, estimated minimum and maximum quantities that could be requested throughout the term of the framework agreement and under one subsequent contract); and
 - e* if the framework agreement is entered into with several undertakings and the subsequent contracts are to be awarded by resuming the contest, the contracting authority, whenever it decides to obtain the products, services or works under such agreement, must concurrently send to all the signatory undertakings an invitation to re-tender. In the re-tendering process, undertakings are only entitled to improve the elements or conditions for which the contest was resumed.

Besides the framework agreement, GEO No. 34/2006 regulates two other special award methods: the dynamic purchasing system and the electronic auction.

The dynamic purchasing system is a fully electronic time-limited process, open, throughout its entire term, to any undertaking that meets the qualification and selection criteria and has submitted a non-binding tender in accordance with the tender book requirements. The contracting authority is obliged to comply with open tender rules at all stages of the dynamic purchasing system. It is entitled to use a dynamic purchasing system only for the purchase of consumable goods with features generally available on the market that meet its needs.

The contracting authority must allow any undertaking concerned to submit a non-binding tender. After the receipt of this tender, the contracting authority is obliged to verify whether the tenderer meets the qualification criteria and whether its technical proposal complies with the tender book requirements. The tenderer is entitled to improve its non-binding tender at any time, provided that the technical proposal still complies with the tender book requirements.

Electronic auction may be used in the following cases: as the final stage of an open tender, restricted tender, negotiation with prior publication of a tender notice or a call for tender, and only if the technical specifications were accurately defined in the tender books; in resuming the contest among undertakings that signed a framework agreement; and when submitting binding tenders for the awarding of a public procurement contract under a dynamic purchasing system. Intellectual services and works contracts cannot be awarded by electronic auction.

Under such a procedure, it is mandatory to indicate the elements of the tender for which it will be resumed and the possible value caps up to which the respective elements may be improved. At each round of the electronic auction, the contracting authority must immediately inform all tenderers of at least the minimum data that they need to determine their rank at any time.

ii Joint ventures

Public-public partnership, defined by domestic law as of December 2011, means the common development of a project by two or more domestic or international public entities. Domestic laws do not provide any detailed regulations on how public-public partnerships operate, but limit themselves to stipulating that public procurement rules apply in this case.

Public-private partnership is regulated separately under Law No. 178/2010. There is talk of amending this Law, based on the premise that this type of partnership seeks to alleviate immediate pressure on public finance by using, in a first stage, private funds to implement public projects, and to boost the efficiency of public services by drawing on the experience of the private sector.

Essentially, public-private partnership can be implemented by various types of contracts under which the private investor is transferred the obligations of the public partner. Upon completion of the contract, the public asset is transferred, free of charge, to the public partner, in good condition and free of any lien or liability.

The stages preceding the execution of such a contract are project initiation by publishing a notice, preliminary analysis and selection, negotiation and execution of the contract. Special law provides for detailed rules on the establishment of a special purpose vehicle (SPV) where the public partner and the private investor will have stakes, with the private partner contributing in kind to the SPV's share capital.

V THE BIDDING PROCESS

i Notice

To ensure the necessary transparency in the awarding of public procurement contracts, mandatory rules had to be established for the publication of the notice of intention, tender notice, invitation to tender and award notice. The electronic system of public procurement, which is used for the development of contract awarding procedures by electronic means, as well as for the registration of certain types of procedures, is called SEAP. The contracting authority must observe SEAP publication procedures, which differ by reference to the type and estimated value of the contract to be awarded.

ii Procedures

Depending on the specifics of the contract to be awarded, the awarding procedure may consist of:⁶

- a* an open tender, where any undertaking concerned is entitled to bid;
- b* a restricted tender, where any undertaking is entitled to take part, but only shortlisted candidates are entitled to bid;

⁶ A direct awarding of the public procurement contract is only possible if the value of the public procurement contract to be awarded is lower than certain thresholds regulated under GEO No. 34/2006. As of 1 July 2013, the value thresholds that allow direct awarding increased.

- c* a competitive dialogue, where any undertaking is entitled to take part and by which the contracting authority has a dialogue with the shortlisted candidates, in order to identify one or several solutions that may cater to its needs; based on such solutions, shortlisted candidates are to provide their final tender;⁷
- d* a negotiation, whereby the contracting authority consults with the shortlisted candidates and negotiates contractual clauses, including the price, with one or more of them;
- e* a call for tender, a simplified procedure by which the contracting authority requests tenders from several undertakings when the values of the contract to be awarded are below the regulated thresholds; and
- f* a solution contest, a special procedure whereby a plan or a project is acquired, in particular in the area of land development, town planning and landscaping, architecture or data processing, by competitive selection of such plan or project by a jury, with or without prizes.

iii Amending bids

Once the tenders have been submitted in accordance with the tender documentation, the checking process will begin. It is worth mentioning that, after the deadline for submission, the financial and technical proposal can no longer be amended or supplemented, otherwise the tender will be rejected as non-compliant.

The only accepted amendments to the tender are those that may be classified as corrections of clerical, arithmetical errors or minor technical deviations.

VI ELIGIBILITY

i Qualification to bid

A preliminary stage that the contracting authority must complete before the actual evaluation of each tender is to verify whether qualification criteria have been met. Depending on the nature of infringements of legal provisions and the tender documentation, tenders may be rejected as unacceptable, non-compliant, or both.

Tenders meeting at least one of the following criteria are deemed to be unacceptable:

- a* they were submitted after the submission deadline or to another address than that provided in the tender notice;
- b* they are not accompanied by a bid bond in an amount, form and with the validity provided in the tender documentation;
- c* they were submitted by a tenderer that does not meet one or more of the qualification requirements provided in the tender documentation, or that did not submit relevant documents in this respect;
- d* they do not comply with the mandatory regulations on specific labour and labour protection conditions;
- e* the price, excluding VAT, in the financial proposal exceeds the estimated value and no additional funds can be made available or, irrespective, there is a deviation

⁷ This type of procedure is used for the awarding of significantly complex contracts.

- of more than 10 per cent from the initial value and this would circumvent legal provisions providing for particular value thresholds; or
- f* it is found that the tender specifies an abnormally low price, so the contract cannot be fulfilled in the quantity and at the quality standards stipulated in the tender book.

Tenders meeting at least one of the following criteria are deemed to be non-compliant:

- a* they do not appropriately comply with the tender book requirements;
- b* they contain proposals for the amendment of contractual clauses that are obviously disadvantageous to the authority, and the tenderer, although notified thereof, does not agree to waive such clauses;
- c* the financial proposals stipulate prices that do not result from a free competition process and are unreasonable; or
- d* within a procedure for the award in stages, the tender does not draw any distinction between the stages, which renders the application of an awarding criterion for each stage impossible.

If the irregularities fall under one of the above two categories, the tender shall be rejected without any evaluation (by reference to the award criterion provided in the documentation).

ii Conflicts of interest

To avoid suspicion of conflict of interests in the evaluation procedure, individuals or legal entities directly participating in the candidacy, tender checking or evaluation process cannot take part in the procedure as a candidate, tenderer, associated tenderer or subcontractor. On the other hand, any person who contributed to the drafting of the documentation can participate in such procedures, but only if his or her involvement in the drafting of the tender documentation is not likely to distort competition.

The following individuals cannot participate in the checking or evaluation process:

- a* individuals holding shares or interests in the subscribed share capital of one of the bidders or candidates, or subcontractors, or members of the board of directors, managing or supervisory board of one of the tenderers or candidates or subcontractors;
- b* spouses, in-laws or relatives up to and including the fourth degree of members of the board of directors, managing or supervisory board of one of the tenderers or candidates;
- c* individuals found to have an interest that makes them biased in the checking or evaluation of candidacies or tenders; or
- d* employees of the contracting authority who, acting in accordance with their duties, are in any conflict of interest, as regulated by the special law ensuring transparency in the conduct of public dignitaries, public servants and in the business environment to prevent and punish corruption, as further amended and supplemented.

The tenderer, candidate or associated tenderer or third-party supporter whose members of the board of directors, managing or supervisory board or shareholders are spouses, in-laws or relatives up to and including the fourth degree of decision-making executives of the contracting authority or have commercial relationships with such executives cannot participate in the contract awarding procedure. To avoid such cases, the contracting authority must stipulate in the data sheet, invitation to tender or tender notice the name of the contracting authority's decision-making executives.

iii Foreign suppliers

Domestic laws do not ban the participation of foreign tenderers. On the contrary, contracting authorities are obliged to abide by the fundamental principles governing public procurement, such as equal treatment and non-discrimination. Moreover, special laws define the concept of 'undertaking' (i.e., the person submitting a tender in a public procurement procedure) without drawing any distinction between Romanian or foreign undertakings. Therefore, foreign undertakings are not obliged to establish any subsidiary or branch in Romania to participate in a contract awarding procedure, as such obligation would be considered restrictive. However, if the foreign tenderer is declared successful, it must register in Romania for tax purposes (including by a tax representative). This registration is purely for tax reasons and does not stem from the applicable special provisions on public procurement.

VII AWARD

i Evaluating tenders

All the minimum qualification requirements, the documents to be provided by undertakings in proving compliance with the qualification and selection criteria, the award criterion, the tender evaluation factors and their proportional weights, as well as the calculation algorithm or the actual methodology used to score the advantages resulting from the technical and financial proposals provided by tenderers, must be included in the tender documentation. Any amendment or addition to the evaluation factors shall lead to the cancellation of the awarding procedure.

The awarding criterion indicated in the tender documentation may not be changed throughout the duration of the procedure; it may consist of either the most economically advantageous option or the lowest price only.

ii National interest and public policy considerations

The contracting authority must make sure that any undertaking can obtain the tender documentation. Technical specifications contained in the documentation (requirements, prescriptions, technical characteristics that allow each product, service or work to be objectively described in compliance with the requirements of the contracting authority) shall be defined in a manner to meet, whenever possible, the requirements and standards of any user, including people with disabilities. Technical specifications shall afford equal access to tenderers and not result in the creation of unreasonable obstacles to the opening up of public procurement to competition.

The contracting authority must define technical specifications either by reference to national standards transposing European standards, European technical approvals, international standards or other technical reference systems established by the European standardisation bodies, or by specifying the requested performances or operational requirements. No tender may be rejected if the tenderer proves, by whatever appropriate means, that its technical proposal meets in an equivalent manner the requirements of the contracting authority. To prove compliance with the requested technical specifications, the contracting authority must accept certificates issued by bodies acknowledged in any Member State.

Performances and functional requirements may also include environmental characteristics. In this case, the contracting authority has the right to use, in full or in part, specifications defined by 'eco-labels' (European, national or multinational). The contracting authority may not consider a technical proposal non-compliant merely because the tendered products or services do not bear the 'eco-label' required, if the tenderer proves, by whatever appropriate means, that the tendered products or services are compliant with the requested technical specifications.

The tender book may not set out technical specifications referring to a specific make, source, production, or a particular process, or to a brand name or trademark, a patent or a production licence with the effect of favouring or disqualifying certain undertakings or products. Tender documentation may set out special requirements for the fulfilment of the contract, seeking to obtain social effects or environmental protection and to promote sustainable development.

VIII INFORMATION FLOW

In principle, access to the information available in a contract awarding procedure is open to all undertakings, except where special regulations provide for the confidentiality of certain documents or the stage of the procedure does not yet allow the disclosure of particular data. Domestic enactments regulating access to information are consistent with the general principles applicable in this sector. For instance, tenderers are granted access to the entire tender documentation, to the answers given by the contracting authority to clarification requests made by another tenderer and to the public procurement file. The contracting authority must report decisions on the outcome of the procedure to the undertakings concerned; the information must be communicated in writing, no later than three business days from the making of the decision. The reasons for rejecting a tender shall be provided to relevant tenderers.

Tenderers are also entitled to attend the meeting at which the contracting authority's evaluation commission opens the tenders. The opening meeting shall be documented by a set of minutes recording the formal issues ascertained upon opening the tenders, the main elements of each tender and the list of documents submitted by each undertaking. A copy of the minutes shall be delivered to all the undertakings, regardless of whether they attended the meeting.

Contracting authorities must secure the protection of any information that the undertaking classifies as confidential, insofar as the disclosure of such information would

objectively damage the legitimate interests of the undertaking (especially with regard to commercial secrecy and intellectual property).

IX CHALLENGING AWARDS

Decisions made in an awarding procedure (or the tender documentation) may be challenged through a special procedure. The draft activity report on the proceedings registered with the National Council for Solving Complaints for the year 2013 indicates approximately 5,000 challenges. There is also an upward trend as concerns the frequency of challenging documents issued in an awarding procedure, while the period required for solving such proceedings has increased (no more than 30 days from the date on which the National Council for Solving Complaints (NCSC)⁸ receives the public procurement file containing the relevant documents from the contracting authority). The challenging procedure does not involving the payment of any specific fee.

i Procedures

The challenging procedure has two stages: an administrative-jurisdictional stage, when the individual damaged by an act of the contracting authority approaches the NCSC, and a litigious stage, when the discontented party appeals to a court of law.

The terms within which such a challenge must be filed vary based on the value of the contract to be awarded, and the window to do so may last for five or 10 days from the service of the instrument considered to have caused damage.

The challenge does not automatically suspend the contract award procedure; however, a suspension may be ordered by the NCSC through a separate motion. Whether it is suspended or not, the procurement contract may not be executed before the NCSC rules on the matter.

The NCSC's decision is binding on the parties; it may be challenged by a complaint before the competent court of law within 10 days. The court judgment is final.

ii Grounds for challenge

The challenge may claim either that an instrument of the contracting authority is illegal or that an instrument was not fulfilled within the legal term. Many such challenges (approximately 6,000) are filed with the NCSC every year.

iii Remedies

The NCSC carries out a legality check of the instruments issued by the contracting authority and may, as the case may be, cancel the challenged instrument, order the contracting authority to issue an instrument or order remedies. The NCSC's decision is binding to the contracting authority and a complaint by any party does not suspend its enforcement. The NCSC may not re-evaluate the submitted tenders, but it may order a reassessment by the evaluation commission formed within the contracting authority.

8 The NCSC is an independent administrative-jurisdictional body.

Matters decided by the NCSC are binding on the contracting authority during reevaluation. If the NCSC rejects the challenge, public procurement regulations allow the contracting authority to retain sums from the challenger's bid bond, calculated by reference to the estimated value of the contract.

The court of law may award indemnifications for damages incurred during a contract awarding procedure. Indemnifications shall be filed for in a separate action and may be awarded only after the prior cancellation of the document considered to be damaging.

If indemnifications are sought for expenses incurred through drafting the tender or participating in the contract awarding procedure, the individual who has suffered damage only needs to prove the breach of the special legal provisions on public procurement, and that he or she would have had a real chance of being awarded the contract, which was thwarted by the relevant breach.

X OUTLOOK

Discussions are being held on the possibility of changing the procedure to solve disputes in connection with the awarding of public procurement contracts. The possibility of eliminating the administrative stage and closing down the NCSC is being considered, with all the disputes in this sector to fall under the jurisdiction of general law courts. This measure is intended to avoid as much as possible suspicions around how such challenges are solved; however, it is at least doubtful how general law courts may react to such a significant increase in workload and, also to the highly technical nature of the supporting arguments.

According to a press release of the Authority for Regulating and Monitoring Public Procurement (the regulatory authority in the field of public procurement), Romania intends to implement the New Directives⁹ through separate enactments corresponding to each of the recently adopted Directives. However, a deadline for the transposition of the New Directives into national law has not been yet established by the Romanian authorities.

9 The New Concession Contracts Directive, the New Public Sector Directive and the New Utilities Directive.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

OANA GAVRILĂ

Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Oana Gavrilă is a managing associate at Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații. For the past 10 years as a pleading lawyer, she has advised the firm's clients on a wide range of corporate and commercial disputes and tax-related disputes. In particular, she has gained an impressive body of expertise in representing clients in complex contentious-administrative disputes, especially as regards the cancellation of administrative deeds connected to the award of concession agreements, public-private partnerships or public procurements.

MARIANA STURZA

Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Mariana Sturza is an associate at Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații, specialising in public procurement, concessions and public-private partnerships (PPPs). With more than six years' practical experience of advising on the application and implementation of procedures for awarding PPP and concession contracts, she has in-depth knowledge of the particularities of public procurement, concessions and PPP regulations in specific infrastructure sectors, such as motorways, national roads, airports and public utilities.

ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII

Victoriei Square

4-8 Nicolae Titulescu Ave

America House, West Wing, 8th Floor

Sector 1

011141 Bucharest

Romania

Tel: +40 21 204 88 90

Fax: +40 21 204 88 99

oana.gavrila@tuca.ro

www.tuca.ro